

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

NY

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,429	11/15/2000	, David A. Kapilow	1999-0096-4	5917
7590 04/26/2005		EXAMINER		
AT & T Corporation PO Box 4110			HARPER, V PAUL	
Middletown, NJ 07748			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2654	
		DATE MAILED: 04/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				W		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	**		
		09/700,429	KAPILOW, DAVID A.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		V. Paul Harper	2654			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION misions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be set o	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A.	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/6	<u>08/2004</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3)[, 					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
.8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre-	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received in A	Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	received.			
A44- 1	44-)					
Attachmen		4) 🗖 Intende	Summany (BTO 442)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date	5)	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/700,429

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 26 and 31 of copending Application No. 09/700524. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Regarding claim 1, copending Application No. 09700524 (claims 26 and 31) includes the following limitations:

- synthesizing a speech signal corresponding to an unavailable packet (claim 26, lines 9-11).
- determining an overlap-add window to use in combining a portion of the synthesized speech signal with a subsequent speech signal resulting from a received packet being decoded by the receiver, wherein the size of the overlap-add window is

Application/Control Number: 09/700,429

Art Unit: 2654

determined based on the duration of the unavailability of packets (claim 26, lines 25-27, "overlap adds a portion of the fifth memory with the start of the first non-erased decoded frame"; claim 31, lines 1-2, "length of the overlap added increases with the length of the missing frames");

• performing an overlap-add operation on the portion of the synthesized speech signal and such speech signal with use of the overlap-add window (claim 26, lines 25-27, "overlap adds a portion ...").

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented (although Application No. 09700524 has been allowed).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The previous 35 USC §103 rejection of claim 1 is withdrawn. It is noted that Stenger ("A New Error Concealment Technique for Audio Transmission with Packet Loss," Proc. of European Signal Processing Conference, 1996) teaches performing an overlap add operation to fill a gap including a merging with a subsequent packet, but Stenger does not teach that the size of the overlap-add window of the synthesized speech with the subsequent packet is determined based on the duration of the unavailability of the packets.

Application/Control Number: 09/700,429

Art Unit: 2654

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to V. Paul Harper whose telephone number is (571) 272-7605. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (571) 272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

d. Paul Harper

4/22/2005

V. Paul Harper Patent Examiner Art Unit 2654